

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/830,766	07/09/2001	Laurent Taisne	RN98145	2210	
7:	590 03/22/2004		EXAMINER		
Jean Louis Seugnet Rhodia Inc			LIPMAN, BERNARD		
259 Prospect Plains Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CN 7500 Cranbury, NJ 08512-7500			1713		
Clairouty, NJ 08312-7300			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A				
F 5	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/830,766	TAISNE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this committee of	Bernard Lipman	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this col	mmunication.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 Ja</u>	nuary 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar			merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-52</u> is/are pending in the application	١.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28,33,35-38,40 and 4</u>		ation.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27,29-32,34,39 and 42-52</u> is/are rejec	ted.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	-					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		- - - - -				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			R 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 H.C.C. \$ 440(-)	(4) (6)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(a) or (t).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori			tage			
application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		_			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not received	d.				
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	🗖 :					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	PTO-413) :e				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/15/04.	5) Notice of Informal Pa		152)			
Patent and Trademark Office	-,					

Serial No. 09/830,766

Art Unit 1713

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 27, 29-32, 34, 39 and 42-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guerin et al., U.S. Patent 6,036,887 in view of Kuroda et al., U.S. Patent 4,748,196.

Reference to Guerin et al. specifically teaches the claimed granules with the surfactant and the active ingredients the same but with the water-soluble compounds being generic to those being claimed by applicants. The teaching of the reference specifically is to polymers and copolymers of acid containing ethylenic monomers, including maleic acid and anhydride as preferred by applicants. The copolymers can have various comonomers as can be seen in column 4 and generically contain any ethylenically unsaturated comonomers. Reference to Kuroda et al. specifically teaches the use of copolymers of maleic anhydride and olefin monomers in similar compositions to those of Guerin et

Art Unit 1713

4:30 P.M.

The reference to Kuroda et al. does not specifically teach al. making granules from its composition but its composition does, in fact, contain the same surfactants and the same type of water insoluble compounds along with the polymer of the maleic anhydride olefin in the form of an emulsion. This is what is required in applicants' claimed formation of the granules. is also what is required in the teaching of the reference to Guerin et al. and it would, therefore, render prima facie obvious the use of maleic anhydride olefin copolymers from the generic teaching of Guerin et al. to acid copolymers absent evidence of unexpected results commensurate in scope to the claims. Applicants have provided a comparison between the preferred polymers of Guerin et al. and the elected polymer of applicants wherein the specific maleic anhydride diisobutylene copolymer is used in conjunction with specific "active" substance and with a specific surfactant. Nowhere is there a comparison of any kind presenting unexpected results for the multitude of combinations of polymers, active compounds, and surfactants as encompassed by applicants' claims. The claims are, therefore, properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as obvious from the references as cited. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard

Lipman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1105. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 7 A.M. to Art Unit 1713

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (571) 272-1114.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-0994.

Bernard Lipman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

BL:cdc March 15, 2004